UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/707,956	01/28/2004	Gilbert J. Yablon	YABLP005US	1955
	7590 03/16/200 OF JAY R. YABLON	9	EXAMINER	
910 NORTHUN	MBERLAND DRIVE		ADDY, THJUAN KNOWLIN	
SCHENECIAL	DY, NY 12309-2814		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/16/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/707,956	YABLON, GILBERT J.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	Trijuan Aday	2017
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>06 March 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	it, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or the statutory period for reply expire I	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07 (Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing data	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee inally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two months of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NO	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in befappeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	ter form for appeal by materially red	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		scied claims.
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		(
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate,	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ll be entered and an explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attached.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>		n condition for allowance because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	
/Ahmad F Matar/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2614		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant's arguments filed on 3/6/2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

On page 2-12 of Applicant's remarks, Applicant's argues the following:

- "The Provisional application No. 60/047,747, filed May 28, 1997 predates the earliest possible priority dates of both Burg and Veschi...."
- "As described in detail below, the subject matter of applicant's rejected independent claims was in fact first disclosed, fully, in priority application US 60/047,747....."

The examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicant's arguments for the following reason:

The later-filed application must be an application for a patent for an invention which is also disclosed in the prior application (the parent or original nonprovisional application or provisional application). The disclosure of the invention in the parent application and in the later-filed application must be sufficient to comply with the requirements of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112. See Transco Products, Inc. v. Performance Contracting, Inc., 38 F.3d 551, 32 USPQ2d 1077 (Fed. Cir. 1994).

The disclosure of the prior-filed application, Application No. 60/047,747, fails to provide adequate support or enablement in the manner provided by the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112 for one or more claims of this application. Claims 10, 59, 142, 148, 152, 160 have the following claim limitation "....*without analyzing** said seven-digit telephone number in relation to telephone numbers previously dialed by the user." The limitation **without analyzing**, is not described in or supported by the disclosure of the prior-filed application No. 60/047,747. The sections of Application No. 60/047747 that are referenced by applicant for support of the claims have issues that are not claimed. For example, page 3/49 in the PDF of US 60/047,747 (provided by applicant) discusses the use of an "8th digits" and "timing interval", which are not claimed. Thus, the subject matter of Applicant's independent claims is not fully disclosed in the priority application US 60/047,747.